

# Cyberbullying Detection Using Images

<Instruction>



# Outline

- Cyberbullying in Image
- Identify cyberbullying through images
- Factors in Cyberbullying images
- Approach in AI Development
- Working approach of Pre-trained model
- Evaluation of AI Model
- Q&A

# Cyberbullying in Images



- Threatening images like these can be sent to a victim to intimidate.
- Detecting such content helps in preventing negative health effects on victim

# Cyberbullying in Images

Many companies are trying to solve this problem but they failed by limitations

The Google logo, consisting of the word "Google" in its characteristic multi-colored font (blue, red, yellow, green, blue, red).The DeepAI logo, featuring a blue square bracket icon followed by the text "DeepAI" in a grey sans-serif font.The Clarifai logo, featuring a blue icon of three stacked horizontal bars with rounded ends, followed by the word "clarifai" in a bold, black, lowercase sans-serif font.The Amazon Rekognition logo, featuring the word "amazon" in its signature black font with a curved arrow underneath, followed by the word "Rekognition" in an orange sans-serif font.

# Identify cyberbullying through images



Image with cyberbullying context


# Factors in Cyberbullying images

5 Factors to identify cyberbullying in image:

- Body-pose Factor
  - Body Orientation
- Facial Emotion Factor
  - Joy, sorrow, anger, surprise, ...
- Gesture Factor
  - Loser, middle finger, thumbs down, gun, ...
- Object Factor
  - Gun, knife, ...
- Social Factor
  - LGBT symbols, ...


# Approach in AI Development

## Overview of Approach



# Working approach of Pre-trained model

AI model was trained well for specific tasks and ready to be deployed...





# Evaluation of AI Model

## Accuracy

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{Number of correct predictions}}{\text{Number of all predictions}}$$

Is accuracy a satisfactory evaluation method?

# Evaluation of AI Model

## Accuracy

How about the dataset is not “balanced”,  
e.g., 99% of the data is “non-cyberbullying”



- Can we say that the model is good at detecting "cyberbullying" samples?

# Evaluation of AI Model

<p>True Positive:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Reality: Cyberbullying</li><li>○ Model Prediction: Cyberbullying</li></ul>	<p>False Positive:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Reality: Non-cyberbullying</li><li>○ Model Prediction: Cyberbullying</li></ul>
<p>False Negative:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Reality: Cyberbullying</li><li>○ Model Prediction: Non-cyberbullying</li></ul>	<p>True Negative:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Reality: Non-cyberbullying</li><li>○ Model Prediction: Non-cyberbullying</li></ul>


False Negative

Prediction:  
**non-cyberbullying**



False Positive

Prediction:  
**cyberbullying**



True Positive


Prediction:  
**cyberbullying**

True Negative

Prediction:  
**non-cyberbullying**



# Q & A



# Experiment

Let's jump into our Lab2

[https://colab.research.google.com/github/cuadvancelab/cuadvancelab.github.io/blob/main/instructions/lab2/social-science/lab2\\_interactive\\_non\\_cs.ipynb](https://colab.research.google.com/github/cuadvancelab/cuadvancelab.github.io/blob/main/instructions/lab2/social-science/lab2_interactive_non_cs.ipynb)